



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,948	02/19/2002	John M. Haltmeyer	24946	3414
24932	7590	09/01/2009		
LAUBSCHER & LAUBSCHER, P.C.				
1160 SPA ROAD				
SUITE 2B				
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21403				
EXAMINER				
ALMEIDA, DEVIN E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2432				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/01/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LLAUBSCHER@LAUBSCHERLAW.COM

info@laubscherlaw.com

asimonini@laubscherlaw.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/076,948

Applicant(s)

HALTMEYER, JOHN M.

Examiner

DEVIN ALMEIDA

Art Unit

2432

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 June 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4 and 9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4 and 9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/CDC)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the papers filed 6/03/2009.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments that Winneg is not interactive in that there is no inquiry regarding allowed applications or information no comparison of the inquiry IDs to stored IDs and no granting of access to allowed applications, is not persuasive. Winneg clearly discloses figure 10 and column 19 lines 10-16 i.e. for each process detected in Act 304, Act 306 may include accessing this authorized process list and comparing the detected process (inquiry ID) to each authorized process included in the list (stored ID). Act 306 may include looping through the authorized process list until a match to the detected process is found or until the end of the authorized process list. Finding a match indicates that the process is authorized (granting access).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Winneg et al (US 7,165,269).

With respect to claim 1, a process for controlling the application that a computer user my run on a multi-user system, comprising the steps of:

Automatically using a security executable on the multi-user system (see column 4 lines 8-18 workstation of a computer lab) in user mode to create a list of authorized application in a database of the multi-user system for computer user when the computer

user logs on to the multi-user system (see column 18 lines 47-56 i.e. A list of processes authorized to be executed on the computer system may be maintained, for example, as part of the method 100. Such a list may be maintained in any of a variety of ways, for example, by storing the list in one or more registers, by representing the list using one or more abstraction implemented using a programming language, or by storing the list in a file such as a text file);

attaching a hook function in user mode to all new applications (see column 12 lines 43-59); employing the hook function whenever a new application is started to send a message to the security executable in user mode (see column 12 lines 43 column 13 line 2), said message including a process id and path of the new application (see "SetWindowsHookEx" reference, Dietmoday inherent in windows to SetWindowsHookEX function parameter dwThreadId); receiving said message from the hook function at the security executable and correlating to said list to determine whether the new application is authorized or not (see figure 10 and column 19 lines 10-16); answering the message by the security executable when the new application is authorized to indicate so (see column 13 lines 3-20); stopping the new application when the new application is not authorized (see column 19 lines 53-57).

With respect to claim 2, a software system for controlling the applications that a computer user may run on a multi-user system, comprising:

a security executable in user mode for creating a list of authorized applications in a database of the multi-user system for the computer user (see column 18 lines 47-56

i.e. A list of processes authorized to be executed on the computer system may be maintained, for example, as part of the method 100. Such a list may be maintained in any of a variety of ways, for example, by storing the list in one or more registers, by representing the list using one or more abstraction implemented using a programming language, or by storing the list in a file such as a text file); a hook function which is automatically attached to all new applications in user mode when the computer user logs on to the multi-user system; meant for querying an ID of each said new application (see column 12 lines 43-59);

means for sending a message with the application ID and the path of the application being examined, using said security executable (see column 18 lines 47-56), means for retrieving retrieve the ID of each new application from said first program module (see figure 10 and column 19 lines 10-16), means for terminate each new application not identified on said list of allowed applications, and answering a message from said first program module when the application is identified on said list of allowed applications (see column 19 lines 53-57).

With respect to claim 4, wherein said first program module is attached to said new processes by tying into the USER32 using the system dynamic link library (see column 13 lines 21-29).

With respect to claim 9, comprising the steps of: using a security executable on the multi-user system in user mode to create and maintain a list of authorized applications in a database of the multi-user system and IDs for each computer user when the computer logs on to the network (see column 18 lines 47-56); attaching a

hook function to all new applications (see column 12 lines 43-59); monitoring all new applications that are started with the hook function and determining an application ID thereof (see figure 10 and column 18 line 27 – column 19 line 57); receiving said application ID from the hook function by the security executable (see “SetWindowsHookEx” reference, Dietmoday inherent in windows to SetWindowsHookEX function parameter dwThreadId); determining whether the application ID of each started application is on said list (see column 13 lines 3-20); allowing said application to continue when its application ID is on the list (see column 13 lines 3-20); terminating said application when its application ID is not on the list (see column 19 lines 53-57).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devin Almeida whose telephone number is 571-270-1018. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

/Devin Almeida/
Examiner, Art Unit 2432

/Gilberto Barron Jr./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2432